

<b>TO: Mail Stop 8</b> <b>Director of the U.S. Patent &amp; Trademark Office</b> <b>P.O. Box 1450</b> <b>Alexandria, VA 22313-1450</b>	<b>REPORT ON THE</b> <b>FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN</b> <b>ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR</b> <b>TRADEMARK</b>
---	---

In Compliance with 35 § 290 and/or 15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been  
 filed in the U.S. District Court Northern District of California on the following ☒ Patents or ☐ Trademarks:

DOCKET NO. <b>CV 10-05446 EMC</b>	DATE FILED <b>12/1/10</b>	U.S. DISTRICT COURT <b>450 Golden Gate Avenue, P.O. Box 36060, San Francisco, CA 94102</b>
PLAINTIFF <b>RAMBUS INC</b>		DEFENDANT <b>LSI CORPORATION</b>
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK
1 see Complaint		
2 <i>19 Feb.</i>		
3		
4		
5		

In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s) have been included:

DATE INCLUDED	INCLUDED BY <input type="checkbox"/> Amendment <input type="checkbox"/> Answer <input type="checkbox"/> Cross Bill <input type="checkbox"/> Other Pleading		
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			

In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued:

DECISION/JUDGEMENT
--------------------

CLERK  Richard W. Wicking	(BY) DEPUTY CLERK  Sheila Rash	DATE  December 1, 2010
---------------------------------	--------------------------------------	------------------------------

1           49.     In November 2008, LSI informed Rambus that it would not pursue further license  
2 negotiations. Rambus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that, despite its refusal to  
3 negotiate a license, LSI continues to knowingly infringe the Asserted Patents.

4           50.     Rambus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that LSI has sold or offered  
5 to sell its Accused Products to third parties who incorporate the Accused Products into their own  
6 products. Those third parties in turn have made, used, sold, offered for sale, and/or imported and/or  
7 continue to make, use, sell, offer for sale, and/or import their own products in the United States.  
8 These activities undertaken by the third parties constitute acts of direct infringement of the Asserted  
9 Patents. The memory controllers and peripheral interfaces in LSI's Accused Products are known by  
10 LSI to be especially made or especially adapted for use in infringement of the Asserted Patents and  
11 are not staple articles or commodities of commerce suitable for substantial non-infringing use. LSI  
12 has thereby contributed to and continues to contribute to the infringement of the Asserted Patents.

13           51.     Rambus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that, by its sales and/or  
14 offers for sale of the Accused Products to third parties, LSI also has induced and continues to induce  
15 acts by third parties that LSI knew or should have known would constitute direct infringement of the  
16 Asserted Patents. LSI actively induces infringement of the Asserted Patents by designing the  
17 Accused Products to be capable of infringement and by promoting and encouraging the use of its  
18 products by the third parties in ways that infringe the Asserted Patents.

19           52.     Rambus is entitled to recover from LSI the actual damages it sustained as a result of  
20 LSI's wrongful acts alleged herein under 35 U.S.C. § 284 in an amount to be proven at trial, together  
21 with interest and costs.

22           53.     Rambus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that LSI's infringement of  
23 the Asserted Patents as set forth herein has been and is willful, deliberate and in disregard of  
24 Rambus's patent rights, and Rambus is therefore entitled to increased damages up to three times the  
25 amount of actual damages and attorneys' fees, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 284, 285.

26           54.     LSI's infringement of the Asserted Patents will continue to damage Rambus, causing  
27 irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law, unless it is enjoined by this Court.  
28

V.

**CLAIMS FOR RELIEF**

**COUNT I**

**(Patent Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,034,918  
Under 35 U.S.C. § 271, *et seq.*)**

55. Rambus incorporates by reference and realleges paragraphs 1 through 54 above as though fully restated herein.

56. Rambus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that LSI: (1) has infringed claims of the '918 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by making, using, offering to sell, selling (directly or through intermediaries), and/or importing Accused Products consisting of or including SDR and/or DDR-type memory controllers, and mobile and/or low power versions thereof, in this district and elsewhere in the United States, and/or (2) has contributed to the literal infringement and/or infringement under the doctrine of equivalents of claims of the '918 Patent, and/or has actively induced others to infringe claims of the '918 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, in this district and elsewhere in the United States.

**COUNT II**

**(Patent Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,038,195  
Under 35 U.S.C. § 271, *et seq.*)**

57. Rambus incorporates by reference and realleges paragraphs 1 through 56 above as though fully restated herein.

58. Rambus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that LSI: (1) has infringed claims of the '195 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by making, using, offering to sell, selling (directly or through intermediaries), and/or importing Accused Products consisting of or including SDR and/or DDR-type memory controllers, and mobile and/or low power versions thereof, in this district and elsewhere in the United States, and/or (2) has contributed to the literal infringement and/or infringement under the doctrine of equivalents of claims of the '195 Patent, and/or has actively induced others to infringe claims of the '195 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, in this district and elsewhere in the United States.

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

63. Rambus incorporates by reference and realleges paragraphs 1 through 62 above as though fully restated herein.

5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12

## 13

14

15

16  
17

18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**COUNT VII**

**(Patent Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,584,037  
Under 35 U.S.C. § 271, *et. seq.*)**

67. Rambus incorporates by reference and realleges paragraphs 1 through 66 above as though fully restated herein.

68. Rambus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that LSI: (1) has infringed claims of the '037 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by making, using, offering to sell, selling (directly or through intermediaries), and/or importing Accused Products consisting of or including DDR2 and/or DDR3 memory controllers, and mobile and/or low power versions thereof, in this district and elsewhere in the United States, and/or (2) has contributed to the literal infringement and/or infringement under the doctrine of equivalents of claims of the '037 Patent, and/or has actively induced others to infringe claims of the '037 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, in this district and elsewhere in the United States.

**COUNT VIII**

**(Patent Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,715,020  
Under 35 U.S.C. § 271, *et. seq.*)**

69. Rambus incorporates by reference and realleges paragraphs 1 through 68 above as though fully restated herein.

70. Rambus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that LSI: (1) has infringed claims of the '020 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by making, using, offering to sell, selling (directly or through intermediaries), and/or importing Accused Products consisting of or including SDR, and/or DDR-type memory controllers, in this district and elsewhere in the United States, and/or (2) has contributed to the literal infringement and/or infringement under the doctrine of equivalents of claims of the '020 Patent, and/or has actively induced others to infringe claims of the '020 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, in this district and elsewhere in the United States.

1 **COUNT IX**

2 **(Patent Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,751,696**  
3 **Under 35 U.S.C. § 271, *et. seq.*)**

4 71. Rambus incorporates by reference and realleges paragraphs 1 through 70 above as  
5 though fully restated herein.

6 72. Rambus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that LSI: (1) has infringed  
7 and continues to infringe claims of the '696 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents,  
8 by making, using, offering to sell, selling (directly or through intermediaries), and/or importing  
9 Accused Products consisting of or including DDR-type memory controllers, and mobile and/or low  
10 power versions thereof, in this district and elsewhere in the United States, and/or (2) has contributed  
11 and continues to contribute to the literal infringement and/or infringement under the doctrine of  
12 equivalents of claims of the '696 Patent, and/or has actively induced and continues to actively induce  
13 others to infringe claims of the '696 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, in this  
14 district and elsewhere in the United States.

15 **COUNT X**

16 **(Patent Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,209,997**  
17 **Under 35 U.S.C. § 271, *et. seq.*)**

18 73. Rambus incorporates by reference and realleges paragraphs 1 through 72 above as  
19 though fully restated herein.

20 74. Rambus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that LSI: (1) has infringed  
21 and continues to infringe claims of the '997 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents,  
22 by making, using, offering to sell, selling (directly or through intermediaries), and/or importing  
23 Accused Products consisting of or including SDR and/or DDR-type memory controllers, and mobile  
24 and/or low power versions thereof, in this district and elsewhere in the United States; and/or (2) has  
25 contributed and continues to contribute to the literal infringement and/or infringement under the  
26 doctrine of equivalents of claims of the '997 Patent, and/or has actively induced and continues to  
27 actively induce others to infringe claims of the '997 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of  
28 equivalents, in this district and elsewhere in the United States.

1 **COUNT XI**

2 **(Patent Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,470,405**  
3 **Under 35 U.S.C. § 271, *et. seq.*)**

4 75. Rambus incorporates by reference and realleges paragraphs 1 through 74 above as  
5 though fully restated herein.

6 76. Rambus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that LSI: (1) has infringed  
7 and continues to infringe claims of the '405 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents,  
8 by making, using, offering to sell, selling (directly or through intermediaries), and/or importing  
9 Accused Products consisting of or including DDR-type memory controllers, and mobile and/or low  
10 power versions thereof, in this district and elsewhere in the United States, and/or (2) has contributed  
11 and continues to contribute to the literal infringement and/or infringement under the doctrine of  
12 equivalents of claims of the '405 Patent, and/or has actively induced and continues to actively induce  
13 others to infringe claims of the '405 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, in this  
14 district and elsewhere in the United States.

15 **COUNT XII**

16 **(Patent Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,591,353**  
17 **Under 35 U.S.C. § 271, *et. seq.*)**

18 77. Rambus incorporates by reference and realleges paragraphs 1 through 76 above as  
19 though fully restated herein.

20 78. Rambus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that LSI: (1) has infringed  
21 and continues to infringe claims of the '353 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents,  
22 by making, using, offering to sell, selling (directly or through intermediaries), and/or importing  
23 Accused Products consisting of or including DDR-type memory controllers, and mobile and/or low  
24 power versions thereof, in this district and elsewhere in the United States, and/or (2) has contributed  
25 and continues to contribute to the literal infringement and/or infringement under the doctrine of  
26 equivalents of claims of the '353 Patent, and/or has actively induced and continues to actively induce  
27 others to infringe claims of the '353 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, in this  
28 district and elsewhere in the United States.

1 **COUNT XIII**

2 **(Patent Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,287,109**  
3 **Under 35 U.S.C. § 271, *et. seq.*)**

4 79. Rambus incorporates by reference and realleges paragraphs 1 through 78 above as  
5 though fully restated herein.

6 80. Rambus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that LSI: (1) has infringed  
7 and continues to infringe claims of the '109 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents,  
8 by making, using, offering to sell, selling (directly or through intermediaries), and/or importing  
9 Accused Products consisting of or including DDR-type memory controllers, and mobile and/or low  
10 power versions thereof, in this district and elsewhere in the United States, and/or (2) has contributed  
11 and continues to contribute to the literal infringement and/or infringement under the doctrine of  
12 equivalents of claims of the '109 Patent, and/or has actively induced and continues to actively induce  
13 others to infringe claims of the '109 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, in this  
14 district and elsewhere in the United States.

15 **COUNT XIV**

16 **(Patent Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,542,555**  
17 **Under 35 U.S.C. § 271, *et. seq.*)**

18 81. Rambus incorporates by reference and realleges paragraphs 1 through 80 above as  
19 though fully restated herein.

20 82. Rambus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that LSI: (1) has infringed  
21 and continues to infringe claims of the '555 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents,  
22 by making, using, offering to sell, selling (directly or through intermediaries), and/or importing  
23 Accused Products consisting of or including PCI Express peripheral interfaces and certain SATA  
24 and SAS peripheral interfaces, in this district and elsewhere in the United States, and/or (2) has  
25 contributed and continues to contribute to the literal infringement and/or infringement under the  
26 doctrine of equivalents of claims of the '555 Patent, and/or has actively induced and continues to  
27 actively induce others to infringe claims of the '555 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of  
28 equivalents, in this district and elsewhere in the United States.



1 **COUNT XV**

2 **(Patent Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,099,404**  
3 **Under 35 U.S.C. § 271, *et. seq.*)**

4 83. Rambus incorporates by reference and realleges paragraphs 1 through 82 above as  
5 though fully restated herein.

6 84. Rambus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that LSI: (1) has infringed  
7 and continues to infringe claims of the '404 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents,  
8 by making, using, offering to sell, selling (directly or through intermediaries), and/or importing  
9 Accused Products consisting of or including PCI Express peripheral interfaces and certain SATA  
10 and SAS peripheral interfaces, in this district and elsewhere in the United States, and/or (2) has  
11 contributed and continues to contribute to the literal infringement and/or infringement under the  
12 doctrine of equivalents of claims of the '404 Patent, and/or has actively induced and continues to  
13 actively induce others to infringe claims of the '404 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of  
14 equivalents, in this district and elsewhere in the United States.

15 **COUNT XVI**

16 **(Patent Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,580,474**  
17 **Under 35 U.S.C. § 271, *et. seq.*)**

18 85. Rambus incorporates by reference and realleges paragraphs 1 through 84 above as  
19 though fully restated herein.

20 86. Rambus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that LSI: (1) has infringed  
21 and continues to infringe claims of the '474 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents,  
22 by making, using, offering to sell, selling (directly or through intermediaries), and/or importing  
23 Accused Products consisting of or including PCI Express peripheral interfaces and certain SATA  
24 and SAS peripheral interfaces, in this district and elsewhere in the United States, and/or (2) has  
25 contributed and continues to contribute to the literal infringement and/or infringement under the  
26 doctrine of equivalents of claims of the '474 Patent, and/or has actively induced and continues to  
27 actively induce others to infringe claims of the '474 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of  
28 equivalents, in this district and elsewhere in the United States.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**COUNT XVII**

**(Patent Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,602,857  
Under 35 U.S.C. § 271, *et. seq.*)**

87. Rambus incorporates by reference and realleges paragraphs 1 through 86 above as though fully restated herein.

88. Rambus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that LSI: (1) has infringed and continues to infringe claims of the '857 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by making, using, offering to sell, selling (directly or through intermediaries), and/or importing Accused Products consisting of or including PCI Express peripheral interfaces and certain SATA and SAS peripheral interfaces, in this district and elsewhere in the United States, and/or (2) has contributed and continues to contribute to the literal infringement and/or infringement under the doctrine of equivalents of claims of the '857 Patent, and/or has actively induced and continues to actively induce others to infringe claims of the '857 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, in this district and elsewhere in the United States.

**COUNT XVIII**

**(Patent Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,602,858  
Under 35 U.S.C. § 271, *et. seq.*)**

89. Rambus incorporates by reference and realleges paragraphs 1 through 88 above as though fully restated herein.

90. Rambus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that LSI: (1) has infringed and continues to infringe claims of the '858 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by making, using, offering to sell, selling (directly or through intermediaries), and/or importing Accused Products consisting of or including PCI Express peripheral interfaces and certain SATA and SAS peripheral interfaces, in this district and elsewhere in the United States, and/or (2) has contributed and continues to contribute to the literal infringement and/or infringement under the doctrine of equivalents of claims of the '858 Patent, and/or has actively induced and continues to actively induce others to infringe claims of the '858 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, in this district and elsewhere in the United States.

1 **COUNT XIX**

2 **(Patent Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 7,715,494**  
3 **Under 35 U.S.C. § 271, *et. seq.*)**

4 91. Rambus incorporates by reference and realleges paragraphs 1 through 90 above as  
5 though fully restated herein.

6 92. Rambus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that LSI: (1) has infringed  
7 and continues to infringe claims of the '494 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents,  
8 by making, using, offering to sell, selling (directly or through intermediaries), and/or importing  
9 Accused Products consisting of or including PCI Express peripheral interfaces and certain SATA  
10 and SAS peripheral interfaces, in this district and elsewhere in the United States, and/or (2) has  
11 contributed and continues to contribute to the literal infringement and/or infringement under the  
12 doctrine of equivalents of claims of the '494 Patent, and/or has actively induced and continues to  
13 actively induce others to infringe claims of the '494 Patent, literally and/or under the doctrine of  
14 equivalents, in this district and elsewhere in the United States.

15 **VI.**

16 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

17 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Rambus asks this Court to enter judgment in its favor against LSI  
18 and grant the following relief:

19 A. An adjudication that LSI has infringed and continues to infringe the Asserted  
20 Patents as alleged above;

21 B. An accounting of all damages sustained by Rambus as a result of LSI's acts of  
22 infringement of the Asserted Patents;

23 C. An award to Rambus of actual damages adequate to compensate Rambus for  
24 LSI's acts of patent infringement, together with prejudgment and postjudgment interest;

25 D. An award to Rambus of enhanced damages, up to and including trebling of  
26 Rambus's damages pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284 for LSI's willful infringement of the Asserted  
27 Patents;  
28

COPY

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

GREGORY P. STONE (SBN 078329)  
*gregory.stone@mto.com*  
HEATHER E. TAKAHASHI (SBN 245845)  
*heather.takahashi@mto.com*  
MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP  
355 South Grand Avenue, 35th Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560  
Telephone: (213) 683-9100  
Facsimile: (213) 687-3702

PETER A. DETRE (SBN 182619)  
*peter.detre@mto.com*  
MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP  
560 Mission Street, 27th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
Telephone: (415) 512-4000  
Facsimile: (415) 512-4077

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
RAMBUS INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RAMBUS INC.,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
LSI CORPORATION,  
  
Defendant.

CASE NO. **10 5446**  
COMPLAINT FOR PATENT  
INFRINGEMENT  
DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

ORIGINAL  
FILED  
2010 DEC -1 P 3:55  
RICHARD W. HENNING  
CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

E-filing

EMC

1 E. An award of Rambus's costs of suit and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to 35  
2 U.S.C. § 285 due to the exceptional nature of this case, or as otherwise permitted by law;

3 F. A grant of a permanent injunction pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 283, enjoining LSI, and  
4 each of its agents, servants, employees, principals, officers, attorneys, successors, assignees, and all  
5 those in active concert or participation with LSI, including related individuals and entities,  
6 customers, representatives, OEMs, dealers, and distributors from further acts of (1) infringement, (2)  
7 contributory infringement, and (3) active inducement to infringe with respect to the claims of the  
8 Asserted Patents;

9 G. Any further relief that this Court deems just and proper.

10 **VII.**

11 **JURY DEMAND**

12 Plaintiff Rambus requests a jury trial on all issues triable to a jury in this matter.

13  
14 Respectfully Submitted,

15 DATED: December 1, 2010

MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON LLP

16  
17 By:   
18 PETER A. DETRE

19 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
20 RAMBUS INC.

1 Plaintiff Rambus Inc. ("Rambus") states the following as its Complaint against Defendant  
2 LSI Corporation ("LSI"):

3 I.

4 **THE PARTIES**

5 1. Plaintiff Rambus is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business at  
6 1050 Enterprise Way, Sunnyvale, California 94089.

7 2. Rambus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that defendant LSI is a  
8 California corporation with its principal place of business at 1621 Barber Lane, Milpitas, California  
9 95035. Upon information and belief, LSI has substantial contacts and transacts substantial business,  
10 either directly or through its agent, on an ongoing basis in this judicial district and elsewhere in the  
11 United States.

12 3. Unless specifically stated otherwise, the acts complained of herein were committed  
13 by, on behalf of, and/or for the benefit of LSI.

14 II.

15 **NATURE OF THE ACTION**

16 4. This is an action for patent infringement.

17 5. Rambus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that LSI has been and/or is  
18 infringing, contributing to the infringement of, and/or actively inducing others to infringe claims of  
19 U.S. Patent No. 6,034,918 (the "'918 Patent"), U.S. Patent No. 6,038,195 (the "'195 Patent"), U.S.  
20 Patent No. 6,260,097 (the "'097 Patent"), U.S. Patent No. 6,304,937 (the "'937 Patent"), U.S. Patent  
21 No. 6,426,916 (the "'916 Patent"), U.S. Patent No. 6,470,405 (the "'405 Patent"), U.S. Patent No.  
22 6,542,555 (the "'555 Patent"), U.S. Patent No. 6,564,281 (the "'281 Patent"), U.S. Patent No.  
23 6,584,037 (the "'037 Patent"), U.S. Patent No. 6,591,353 (the "'353 Patent"), U.S. Patent No.  
24 6,715,020 (the "'020 Patent"), U.S. Patent No. 6,751,696 (the "'696 Patent"), U.S. Patent No.  
25 7,099,404 (the "'404 Patent"), U.S. Patent No. 7,209,997 (the "'997 Patent"), and U.S. Patent No.  
26 7,287,109 (the "'109 Patent"), U.S. Patent No. 7,580,474 (the "'474 Patent"), U.S. Patent No.  
27 7,602,857 (the "'857 Patent"), U.S. Patent No. 7,602,858 (the "'858 Patent"), and U.S. Patent No.  
28 7,715,494 (the "'494 Patent") (collectively the "Asserted Patents").

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

III.

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

6. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 1 *et seq.*, including 35 U.S.C. § 271. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

7. This Court has personal jurisdiction over LSI because LSI has substantial contacts and conducts business in the State of California and in this judicial district, and has been infringing, contributing to the infringement of and/or actively inducing others to infringe claims of the Asserted Patents in California and elsewhere.

8. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b), 1391(c), 1391(d) and/or 1400(b) because a substantial part of the events giving rise to Rambus's claims occurred in the Northern District of California and because LSI is subject to personal jurisdiction in the Northern District of California.

IV.

**FACTUAL BACKGROUND**

A. **Rambus**

9. Rambus is one of the world's leading designers of semiconductor interface technologies that are used in a broad range of consumer, computing, and communications applications. In addition to the development of high-speed interfaces, Rambus's breakthrough technology and unparalleled engineering expertise have solved the most challenging interface problems and have brought industry-leading products to market. Rambus's interface solutions have enabled state-of-the-art performance in many products, such as personal computers, workstations, servers, gaming consoles, televisions, Blu-ray players, set-top boxes, printers, video projectors, network switches, modems, routers, mobile phones, and graphics cards.

10. In the late 1980s, Michael Farmwald and Mark Horowitz, the founders of Rambus, recognized and set out to solve the "memory bottleneck problem," the failure of memory interfaces to keep pace with the exponential growth in microprocessor speed. Farmwald and Horowitz's inventions enabled a dynamic random access memory (DRAM) memory architecture that achieved

1 data transmission rates of 500 megahertz, at a time when other DRAM chips were running in the  
2 range of 20-30 megahertz.

3 11. Since its founding in 1990, Rambus has continued to design, develop, market, and  
4 license its high speed interface technology. Rambus's inventions include new memory devices, new  
5 controllers for controlling such memory devices, and new systems incorporating those memory  
6 devices and memory controllers. Rambus's inventions also include new transmitters and receivers  
7 and interface technology.

8 12. Rambus has made large-scale investments in the exploitation of its technology and a  
9 large number of companies have paid for licenses to its patents. Rambus has granted licenses of  
10 varying scope to its technology to many of the world's largest semiconductor manufacturers.

11 13. Since 1990, Rambus has spent millions of dollars on research and development of its  
12 valuable technology. Rambus relies on the United States patent system to protect the technology  
13 resulting from its research and development. Rambus's continued success depends on its research  
14 and development of memory interface and other solutions, as well as the protection of intellectual  
15 property in its innovative technology.

16 **B. Asserted Patents**

17 14. On April 18, 1990, U.S. Patent Application No. 07/510,898 was filed naming Michael  
18 Farmwald and Mark Horowitz as inventors. The Asserted Patents claiming priority to this  
19 application are referred to herein as the "Farmwald/Horowitz Patents."

20 15. On October 19, 1995, U.S. Patent Application No. 08/545,292 was filed naming  
21 Richard M. Barth and other individuals as inventors. The Asserted Patents claiming priority to this  
22 application are referred to herein as the "Barth Patents."

23 16. On June 20, 1997, U.S. Provisional Patent Application No. 60/050,098 was filed, on  
24 June 23, 1997, U.S. Patent Application No. 08/880,980 was filed, and on June 25, 1997, U.S. Patent  
25 Application No. 08/882,252 was filed, each naming William J. Dally as inventor. The Asserted  
26 Patents claiming priority to these applications are referred to herein as the "Dally Patents."



1                   **1.     Farmwald/Horowitz Patents**

2           17.     On March 7, 2000, U.S. Patent No. 6,034,918, titled "Method of Operating a Memory  
3 Having a Variable Data Output Length and a Programmable Register," was duly and legally issued  
4 to Rambus, as assignee of named inventors Michael Farmwald and Mark Horowitz.

5           18.     On March 14, 2000, U.S. Patent No. 6,038,195, titled "Synchronous Memory Device  
6 Having a Delay Time Register and Method of Operating Same," was duly and legally issued to  
7 Rambus, as assignee of named inventors Michael Farmwald and Mark Horowitz.

8           19.     On July 10, 2001, U.S. Patent No. 6,260,097, titled "Method and Apparatus for  
9 Controlling a Synchronous Memory Device," was duly and legally issued to Rambus, as assignee of  
10 named inventors Michael Farmwald and Mark Horowitz.

11          20.     On October 16, 2001, U.S. Patent No. 6,304,937, titled "Method of Operation of a  
12 Memory Controller," was duly and legally issued to Rambus, as assignee of named inventors  
13 Michael Farmwald and Mark Horowitz.

14          21.     On July 30, 2002, U.S. Patent No. 6,426,916, titled "Memory Device Having a  
15 Variable Data Output Length and a Programmable Register," was duly and legally issued to  
16 Rambus, as assignee of named inventors Michael Farmwald and Mark Horowitz.

17          22.     On May 13, 2003, U.S. Patent No. 6,564,281, titled "Synchronous Memory Device  
18 Having Automatic Precharge," was duly and legally issued to Rambus, as assignee of named  
19 inventors Michael Farmwald and Mark Horowitz.

20          23.     On June 24, 2003, U.S. Patent No. 6,584,037, titled "Memory Device Which Samples  
21 Data After an Amount of Time Expires," was duly and legally issued to Rambus, as assignee of  
22 named inventors Michael Farmwald and Mark Horowitz.

23          24.     On March 30, 2004, U.S. Patent No. 6,715,020, titled "Synchronous Integrated  
24 Circuit Device," was duly and legally issued to Rambus, as assignee of named inventors Michael  
25 Farmwald and Mark Horowitz.

26          25.     On June 15, 2004, U.S. Patent No. 6,751,696, titled "Memory Device Having a  
27 Programmable Register," was duly and legally issued to Rambus, as assignee of named inventors  
28 Michael Farmwald and Mark Horowitz.

1           26.     On April 24, 2007, U.S. Patent No. 7,209,997, titled "Controller Device and Method  
2 for Operating Same," was duly and legally issued to Rambus, as assignee of named inventors  
3 Michael Farmwald and Mark Horowitz.

4           27.     The Farmwald/Horowitz Patents include claims directed to improving the  
5 performance of memory controllers.

6           28.     At all relevant times, Rambus has been the owner of the entire right, title, and interest  
7 in each of the Farmwald/Horowitz Patents.

8                   **2.     Barth Patents**

9           29.     On October 22, 2002, U.S. Patent No. 6,470,405, titled "Protocol for Communication  
10 with Dynamic Memory," was duly and legally issued to Rambus, as assignee of Richard M. Barth  
11 and the other inventors named therein.

12          30.     On July 8, 2003, U.S. Patent No. 6,591,353, titled "Protocol for Communication with  
13 Dynamic Memory," was duly and legally issued to Rambus, as assignee of Richard M. Barth and the  
14 other inventors named therein.

15          31.     On October 23, 2007, U.S. Patent No. 7,287,109, titled "Method of Controlling a  
16 Memory Device Having a Memory Core," was duly and legally issued to Rambus, as assignee of  
17 Richard M. Barth and the other inventors named therein.

18          32.     The Barth Patents include claims directed to improving the performance of memory  
19 controllers.

20          33.     At all relevant times, Rambus has been the owner of the entire right, title, and interest  
21 in each of the Barth Patents.

22                   **3.     Dally Patents**

23          34.     On April 1, 2003, U.S. Patent No. 6,542,555, titled "Digital Transmitter With  
24 Equalization," was duly and legally issued to the Massachusetts Institute of Technology ("MIT"), as  
25 assignee of named inventor William J. Dally.

26          35.     On August 29, 2006, U.S. Patent No. 7,099,404, titled "Digital Transmitter," was  
27 duly and legally issued to MIT, as assignee of named inventor William J. Dally.  
28

1           36.     On August 25, 2009, U.S. Patent No. 7,580,474, titled "Digital Transmitter," was  
2 duly and legally issued to MIT, as assignee of named inventor William J. Dally.

3           37.     On October 13, 2009, U.S. Patent No. 7,602,857, titled "Digital Transmitter," was  
4 duly and legally issued to MIT, as assignee of named inventor William J. Dally.

5           38.     On October 13, 2009, U.S. Patent No. 7,602,858, titled "Digital Transmitter," was  
6 duly and legally issued to MIT, as assignee of named inventor William J. Dally.

7           39.     On May 11, 2010, U.S. Patent No. 7,715,494, titled "Digital Transmitter," was duly  
8 and legally issued to MIT, as assignee of named inventor William J. Dally.

9           40.     The Dally Patents include claims directed to improving the performance of digital  
10 communications.

11           41.     At all relevant times, the Dally Patents have been owned by MIT. MIT has  
12 exclusively licensed the Dally Patents to Rambus, subject only to any rights retained by the United  
13 States federal government pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 201-211, with the right to sublicense, and the  
14 right to prosecute any past, present, or future infringement of the Dally Patents.

15           **C.     LSI's Acts of Infringement**

16           42.     Rambus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that LSI has made, used,  
17 sold, imported and/or offered for sale, and/or continued to make, use, sell, import and/or offer for  
18 sale, products in the United States consisting of or including DRAM memory controllers, including  
19 SDR (Single Data Rate) memory controllers, DDR-type memory controllers (including DDR or  
20 Double Data Rate memory controllers, DDR2 or Double Data Rate 2 memory controllers, and  
21 DDR3 or Double Data Rate 3 memory controllers), and mobile and/or low power versions of the  
22 aforementioned memory controllers.

23           43.     Rambus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that LSI has made, used,  
24 sold, imported and/or offered for sale, and/or continued to make, use, sell, import and/or offer for  
25 sale, products in the United States consisting of or including high-speed SerDes interfaces, including  
26 PCI Express peripheral interfaces and certain SATA and SAS peripheral interfaces.

27           44.     The aforementioned LSI products are hereinafter referred to collectively as the  
28 "Accused Products." The Accused Products include at least products that are part of, for example,

1 LSI's storage (e.g., MegaRAID, LSISAS RAID-on-Chip, and TrueStore) and networking (e.g., APP,  
2 and Tarari) product lines.

3 45. LSI's making, use, sale, offers for sale, and/or importation of the Accused Products in  
4 the United States constitute acts of direct infringement of the Asserted Patents.

5 46. In December 2005, Rambus met with LSI to discuss the companies' business  
6 strategies (including reviewing Rambus's technology and patent licensing business model) and  
7 possible future engagements between Rambus and LSI. In January 2006, Rambus contacted LSI to  
8 schedule meetings to discuss potential technology engagements and a potential license to Rambus  
9 patents. Rambus followed up with LSI by telephone and email in February 2006 regarding its  
10 unlicensed use of Rambus's inventions. Rambus informed LSI that it could find an overview of  
11 Rambus patents and innovations on Rambus's website, and that it would like to meet to discuss  
12 LSI's need for a patent license for use of technologies such as those LSI used in its products  
13 containing memory interfaces. A further meeting was scheduled, and on or about April 21, 2006,  
14 Rambus provided information to LSI regarding the infringement of the '195 Patent, the '097 Patent,  
15 the '937 Patent, the '916 Patent, the '281 Patent, the '037 Patent, the '020 Patent, the '696 Patent,  
16 and the '405 Patent by LSI products.

17 47. Rambus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that LSI has known of  
18 the '555 patent and other patents in the Dally patent family since at least early 2004.

19 48. Rambus is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that LSI's knowing  
20 infringement has continued despite further information regarding infringement provided by Rambus  
21 in the course of attempting to negotiate a license with LSI that would fairly compensate Rambus for  
22 LSI's use of patents owned or exclusively licensed by Rambus. For example, on or about May 31,  
23 2006, Rambus provided information to LSI regarding the infringement of the '195 Patent, the '097  
24 Patent, the '937 Patent, the '916 Patent, the '281 Patent, the '037 Patent, the '020 Patent, the '696  
25 Patent, and the '405 Patent by LSI products. On or about October 5, 2007, Rambus provided  
26 information to LSI regarding the infringement of the '195 Patent, the '097 Patent, the '937 Patent,  
27 the '916 Patent, the '281 Patent, the '020 Patent, the '696 Patent, the '997 Patent, and the '405  
28 Patent by LSI products.